Insights from the Meshech Chochma רב מאיר שמחה הכהן מדווינסק זצ"ל פַּרִשַׁת כִּי תָבוֹא תשפ"ה

Shulie Plawes

(פרק בח' ד) (פרק בח' ד) (פרק בּרְיּ בְּטְנְךָּ וּפְרִי אַדְמָתְךָּ וּפְרִי בְּהָמְתֶּרָּ...) (פרק בח' ד) Blessed shall be the fruit of your womb, and the fruit of your ground, and the fruit of your animals...

The Artscroll Stone Edition Chumash editors beautifully introduce this Parsha of Blessings: "Before the Torah recounts the dire consequences of sin, it gives the blessings that will accrue to the nation for fulfilling the commandments. These blessings are wide ranging and involve every area of material life, thus reassuring the people that their spiritual accomplishments will bring them untold benefits in every area of life."

Rav Meir Simcha is bothered by the sequence in our pasuk-would it not be more appropriate to have בָּהֶמְתֵּךְ precede אֲדַמַתַּךְ? His answer begins with a Midrash Rabba, earlier in דברים ז' יג, where Moshe Rabbeinu assures Klal Yisrael, in a very similar manner as our pasuk does, will love you, bless you and multiply you, and He will bless the fruit of **your womb** and the fruit of **your ground**... Asks the Midrash: "Why does (the Torah) connect אַדְמַתָּךָ to בָּטָנָךְ ...Another answer: HKBH was hinting to them, 'Just as the fruit of the land requires tithing, so the fruit of your womb requires tithing-מֵילָה i.e., that is the mitzva of *milah.'''* This certainly requires clarification. Rav Meir Simcha proposes, based on בדרים לב's exegesis in:ברים לב of the pasuk in הַחַבְמַה אַליטִים... , הָהלת ז' יט /Wisdom strengthens the wise **more than ten rulers...** that the עֲשַׂרֵה שַׁלִיטִים are the two eyes, two ears, two hands, two legs, the milah, and the mouth. Based on this, he feels that our Midrash is saying that circumcision can be viewed as "tithing" the body, for the milah is considered one of the ten limbs that rule a person. Performing a bris milah is analogous to the separation of מעשר, for just as the separation of מעשר "fixes" the produce and prepares it for consumption, circumcision renders a person fit to be a servant of Hashem.

With this explanation of the Midrash, Rav Meir Simcha's question as to why *our* pasuk does not place פְּרִי בְּהֶבְּהְ after פְּרִי בִּטְנְּךְ is reinforced, for is there not also a required tithing for livestock, מַעֵשֶׂר בְּהֵמְה Furthermore, why not infer the importance of *bris milah* from the higher level מַעַשֶׂר בְּהֵמְה in *our* pasuk? To answer this he takes us to בכורות נז: where we are told that although it is preferable to separate the מַעַשֶּׂר בְּהֵמָה and then slaughter the remaining animals, if one does not, the slaughtered meat is permitted to be eaten. This is vastly different when tithing produce-before it is separated it is שֶׁבֶל and absolutely prohibited to be consumed. What we see from this is that מַעַשֶּׁר תְבוּאָה creates a תִּיקוּן, a transformation from a מַעַשֶּׁר בְּהֵמָה to a זַבְר מוּתָּר to a זַבָר אָסוּר is more than just performing an important mitzva-it creates

a תַּיקּוּן הָרִים לא: תַּיקּוּן הַרּים לא: for the entire body. Rav Meir Simcha quotes R' Elazar ben Azarya in יומא פה: מְאוּסָה as a טְבֵל as a מְאוּסָה, a repulsive being. He points out that Rashi, in יומא פה: refers to milah as a תִּיקוּן, arepulsive being. He points out that Rashi, in יומא פה: The Terumas Hadeshen (Rav Yisrael Isserlin, 1390-1460), in a responsa which deals with a bris on Shabbos where the father of the child and a stranger are equally qualified, who should do it, and in his discussion of this case he explains that the reason we need Scriptural permission to perform milah on Shabbos, even though it is in the category of קָלְקוּל הַלְּחָל וֹנ is a מְיִקּוּן הַגוּף בּוֹנ is a מְיִקּוּן לְגוּף בּוֹנ is a מְיִקּוּן לְגוּף בּוֹנ is a physical way in that the newly circumcised child is allowed to be smeared with שָׁלְּתְרוּמָה something which was not permitted before his milah. Using the Midrash, and based on all these similarities, Rav Meir Simcha concludes that in our pasuk, it is quite appropriate to place וְּמִיְהַוֹּךְ בַּעְנַבְּר בַּעָבָּר בַּעָבָּר בַּעָבָּר immediately after פְּרִי אַדְמַתְּךּ

Before finishing this piece, he gives us one more dramatic similarity between *milah* and מַעשׂר תבואַה: We read in תענית ט. that R' Yochanan found the young son of Reish Lakish and asked him "to recite to me the verse you studied today in school. The boy said to him: 'עשר תעשר) You shall tithe.'... Rabbi Yochanan explained to him that this means, 'Tithe so that you will become wealthy.' The boy said to him, 'From where do you know this?' He replied, נַסִי זִיל' i.e., go and test it.' The boy said to him, 'And is it permitted to test HKBH? But isn't it written: (לא תַנְסוּ אָת ה'/You shall not test Hashem?' R' Yochanan said to the boy that R' Hoshaya said, 'It is prohibited to test Hashem in any way, except in this case of מַעשֶׁר תָבוּאָה, as it says in ָהַבִּיאוּ אֶת כַּל הַמַעשֵּׁר אֶל בֵּית הַאוֹצַר וִיהִי טֵרֶף בָּבֵיתִי... אָם לֹא אֶפְתַּח לַכֵם אֶת אַרְבּוֹת:מלאכי י' י הַשַּׁמֵים/Bring the whole tithe into the storeroom, that there may be food in My house... and test Me now by this, if I will not open for you the windows of heaven..." With regards to מעשר תְּבוּאַה, Rav Meir Simcha tells us: שַׁרִי לְנַסוּיֵי וּלְבַקשׁ שִׁבַר. He points out that one of the *brachos* that is made at the bris milah contains the following supplication: על כן בשבר זאת, קל חי חלקנו וו.e., therefore, on this account, צוֶה לְהַצִּיל יִדִידוּת שָׁאֵרֵנוּ מְשַׁחַת, לְמַעַן בִּרִיתוֹ אֲשֶׁר שָׁם בִּבְשַׂרֵנוּ living Almighty, our Portion, our Rock, give the command to rescue the beloved soul within our flesh from destruction, for the sake of His covenant that He has set in our flesh. How did the אַנְשֵׁי כְנֵסֶת הַגְּדוֹלֵה have the temerity to "command" of Hashem a reward for fulfilling a mitzva? According to Rav Meir Simcha, as established by our Midrash, it is exactly because of this strong connection between מַעשֶר תַבוּאַה and Bris Milah!

> לזכר נשמת אבי מורי ר' ישראל מנחם בן ר' שלום ז"ל לזכר נשמת אמי מורתי רחל בת ר' אלחנן אביגדור _{ע"ה} לזכר נשמת חמי מורי ר' יעקב נתן בן ר' ישראל שלמה ז"ל ולזכר נשמת הרב יהודה בן ר' אברהם שמחה (קופרמן) זצ"ל מחבר הגהות על ספר משך חכמה